	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/657,460	SUZUKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanh T. Vu	2174
All Participants:	Status of Applica	tion: allowed
(1) Thanh T. Vu.	(3)	
(2) Richard A Gollhofer.	. (4)	·
Date of Interview: 25 October 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: claim 24		
Prior art documents discussed: None		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE diccussed an amendment for claim 24.	GENERAL NATURE OF W	HAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the provide and the pro	on. The examiner will provide arate record of the substant	le a written summary of the substance ce of the interview, since the interview
		•
· .		
(From in a CDF Circuit 197)	aliana/Ang P	Anthon Clausettes (C. 1977)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Represei	ntative Signature – if appropriate)